Temporal and trophic partitioning promote coexistence between mesocarnivores in a Mediterranean landscape

Iago Ferreiro-Arias¹*, Jorge Isla¹, Pedro Jordano¹, Ana Benítez-López¹

¹Department of Integrative Ecology, Estación Biológica de Doñana, Consejo Superior de Investigaciones Científicas, Avda. Américo Vespucio, 26, 41092, Sevilla, Spain.

*Corresponding author. email: iago.ferreiro.arias@gmail.com

Iago Ferreiro-Arias - ORCID: 0000-0003-4178-5783

Pedro Jordano - ORCID: 0000-0003-2142-9116

Jorge Isla - ORCID: 0000-0002-2307-9730

Ana Benítez-López - ORCID: 0000-0002-6432-1837

1 Abstract

2 1. The partition of the ecological niche can enhance the coexistence of predators due to 3 differences in how they exploit three main resources: food, space, and time, the latter being 4 an axis that often remains unexplored. 5 2. We studied niche segregation in a Mediterranean mesocarnivore community in sites where 6 the top predator, the Iberian lynx, is absent, addressing simultaneously two niche axes: the 7 temporal and the trophic axes. 8 3. Temporal overlap between prey and predator and between potential competitors was 9 analysed by comparing daily activity patterns of predators and prey species through data 10 obtained by using 24 camera-traps deployed in three different sites of the Doñana National 11 Park. We further examined the trophic dimension by compiling and analysing diet 12 information in the study area and exploring trophic preferences between potential 13 competitors. 14 4. We found an overall temporal segregation between trophic generalist species while those 15 species which showed higher values of temporal overlap differ largely in their trophic 16 preferences. Furthermore, we observed an overall high degree of overlap between the activity 17 patterns of predators and their putative prey (the common genet vs small mammals and the 18 red fox vs European rabbit). 19 5. Our study suggests that coexistence of the different species that compose the 20 mesocarnivore assemblage in Mediterranean landscapes can be facilitated by the marked 21 temporal segregation between generalist species, but also by differences in trophic 22 preferences by specialist species when their activity patterns overlap with other

mesocarnivores. Our findings reinforce that idea that the coexistence mechanisms underlying
 community structure are multidimensional.

25 Keywords

- 26 Diel activity, Doñana, Genetta genetta, Herpestes ichneumon, interspecific competition,
- 27 *Meles meles*, niche differentiation, *Vulpes vulpes*.

28 Introduction

29 Understanding the mechanisms that promote coexistence of species with similar 30 ecological requirements is a central topic in community ecology, where competitive 31 interactions between species have the potential to affect diversity patterns by limiting or 32 promoting coexistence (Chesson, 2000). In order to mitigate the negative impact of 33 interspecific competition, species often partition resources along three main niche dimensions 34 (temporal, trophic and spatial), which eventually result in niche differentiation (Schoener, 35 1974). Most studies concerning species coexistence focus on the differential use of habitat 36 and food resources; nonetheless, the differential use of the diel cycle may enhance 37 coexistence of same-sized species, particularly among predator species (Di Bitetti et. al., 38 2009; Wang & Fisher, 2012).

39 Diel activity patterns are cyclical behavioural patterns which can be influenced by 40 several factors (i.e., prey availability or thermoregulatory strategies) (Lode, 1995; Maloney 41 et. al., 2005). Within mammals, a large variability and plasticity in their activity patterns has 42 been documented (Bennie et al. 2014). This diversity of diel cycles could be due to the plastic 43 nature of behavioural responses to different pressures, which may in turn induce marked 44 variations in daily rhythms among different scenarios (Ensing et al., 2014; Gaynor et. al., 45 2018). Broadly, activity patterns displayed by mammals are determined by circadian

46 endogenous boundaries (Kronfeld-Schor & Dayan, 2003), which, particularly in carnivores, 47 are shaped by external factors (Monterroso et.al., 2014; Zielinski, 1986), and thus their diel 48 cycle use is context-dependent. Hence, the co-occurrence of species that compete for a certain 49 resource has the potential to produce shifts in the daily activity patterns of competitively 50 surrogate species. For example, crab-eating and pampas foxes have been reported to change 51 their daily foraging activity in sympatric areas where both species are present (switching 52 from diurnal to nocturnal), compared to allopatric areas where only one fox species is present 53 (Di Bitetti et al., 2009).

54 In addition, predators' activity patterns could also adjust their daily activity rhythms to 55 those hours of the day in which the availability of prey increases (Foster et al., 2013), while, 56 at the same time, prey species could generate a temporal mismatch by centering their activity 57 at times when there is a lower risk of predation (Fenn & Macdonald, 1995). Consequently, 58 high temporal overlap between carnivores and their putative prey have been reported in some 59 predator-prey systems (Linkie & Ridout, 2011), whereas in others an asynchrony was found 60 in their respective activity peaks (Arias-Del Razo et al., 2011; Díaz Ruiz et al., 2016). As a 61 consequence, the daily activity of a given predator could be a result of a cost-benefit trade-off 62 between maximizing their activity during periods with high prey availability (benefit) but 63 also higher mortality risk due to encounters with intraguild competitors (cost) (Santos et al., 64 2019).

Interspecific interactions play an important role in structuring a mammalian
community (Holt et al., 1994), especially in carnivores where competition not only arises as a
consequence of exploiting the same resource simultaneously, but also due to the risk
associated with intraguild predation. Intraguild predation (IGP) is an antagonistic interaction
where a predator species kills another predator, or where both species prey upon each other

70	(Palomares & Caro, 1999; Polis et.al., 1989), being specially reported on top-predators
71	against surrogate competitors (Palomares & Caro, 1999). The IGP's effects in competitors
72	can be diverse, from abundance reductions and distributional shifts (in space and time)
73	(Jiménez et al., 2019; Newsome et al., 2017) to behavioural modifications through restriction
74	of their activity patterns (Wang & Fisher, 2012). Because apex predators play a key role in
75	ecosystem functioning due to their regulatory role on populations of prey and medium-sized
76	carnivores (top-down control), their extinction frequently triggers cascading effects through
77	direct and indirect interactions among trophic levels (Terborgh et. al., 2010). Hence, the
78	collapse of top predators can lead to an eventual increase of the distribution and abundance of
79	medium-sized carnivore populations, a phenomenon coined 'mesopredator release' by Soulé
80	et al. (1988) that has been repeatedly documented (Prugh et al., 2009; Brashares et. al., 2010).

81	In the Iberian Peninsula, the Iberian lynx (Lynx pardinus) exerts its role as a top-
82	predator on the populations of surrogate competitors (Palomares et. al., 1998; Palomares
83	et.al., 1996). Sizeable reductions of mesocarnivore abundance have been reported after the
84	reintroduction of the Iberian lynx (Jiménez et al., 2019), reinforcing the idea that the
85	coexistence between these carnivores is supported by spatial structuring, where the
86	probability of occurrence of the lynx is negatively associated with the presence of
87	mesopredators (Monterroso et.al., 2020; Palomares et al., 1996). In Doñana National Park,
88	the carnivore guild is composed by a habitat and trophic specialist top predator (the Iberian
89	lynx, Lynx pardinus) and mesocarnivores such as the red fox (Vulpes vulpes), the European
90	badger (Meles meles) and the Egyptian mongoose (Herpestes ichneumon), which are
91	characterized by a wide niche breadth in terms of habitat and trophic preferences. This
92	assemblage is completed by the common genet (Genetta genetta), with a considerably
93	narrower diet. The negative effects that the Iberian lynx has on these mesocarnivores occur
94	mainly through intraguild predation, particularly on Egyptian mongooses (Egyptian

95 *mongoose*), common genets (*Genetta genetta*) and red foxes (*Vulpes vulpes*) (Palomares et al.

96 1996, Palomares & Caro 1999, and references therein).

97	However, IGP interactions between the different mesocarnivores remain quite
98	unknown (Palomares et al., 1996; Valverde, 1957). In areas where the Iberian lynx is not
99	present, coexistence between mesopredators does not seem to be mediated by spatial
100	structuring (Soto & Palomares, 2015) and thus indirect competitive interactions may become
101	more relevant. In this sense, differences in activity shifts of mesocarnivores with similar
102	trophic requirements would alleviate resource overlap. Empirical data in this respect are
103	surprisingly very limited for the Mediterranean area, where situations of mesopredator release
104	may be common due to recent historical reductions in the Iberian lynx populations. Yet, most
105	previous studies have focused on spatial avoidance as the main mechanism allowing the
106	mesocarnivore coexistence, and only recently has the focus shifted towards temporal
107	segregation (Barrull et al., 2014; Monterroso et al., 2014; Vilella et al., 2020).
108	Hence, the main purpose of this study is to evaluate the ecological factors that allow
108 109	Hence, the main purpose of this study is to evaluate the ecological factors that allow the coexistence of mesocarnivore species in the Doñana National Park without the influence
108 109 110	Hence, the main purpose of this study is to evaluate the ecological factors that allow the coexistence of mesocarnivore species in the Doñana National Park without the influence of a top-predator, focusing on the temporal segregation of four mesocarnivore species: the red
108 109 110 111	Hence, the main purpose of this study is to evaluate the ecological factors that allow the coexistence of mesocarnivore species in the Doñana National Park without the influence of a top-predator, focusing on the temporal segregation of four mesocarnivore species: the red fox (<i>Vulpes vulpes</i>), the European badger (<i>Meles meles</i>), the common genet (<i>Genetta</i>
108 109 110 111 111	Hence, the main purpose of this study is to evaluate the ecological factors that allow the coexistence of mesocarnivore species in the Doñana National Park without the influence of a top-predator, focusing on the temporal segregation of four mesocarnivore species: the red fox (<i>Vulpes vulpes</i>), the European badger (<i>Meles meles</i>), the common genet (<i>Genetta</i> <i>genetta</i>), and the Egyptian mongoose (<i>Herpestes ichneumon</i>). Thus, this study aims: 1) to
108 109 110 111 112 113	Hence, the main purpose of this study is to evaluate the ecological factors that allow the coexistence of mesocarnivore species in the Doñana National Park without the influence of a top-predator, focusing on the temporal segregation of four mesocarnivore species: the red fox (<i>Vulpes vulpes</i>), the European badger (<i>Meles meles</i>), the common genet (<i>Genetta</i> <i>genetta</i>), and the Egyptian mongoose (<i>Herpestes ichneumon</i>). Thus, this study aims: 1) to explore the relationship between mesocarnivores along the trophic niche axis; 2) to determine
108 109 110 111 112 113 114	Hence, the main purpose of this study is to evaluate the ecological factors that allow the coexistence of mesocarnivore species in the Doñana National Park without the influence of a top-predator, focusing on the temporal segregation of four mesocarnivore species: the red fox (<i>Vulpes vulpes</i>), the European badger (<i>Meles meles</i>), the common genet (<i>Genetta</i> <i>genetta</i>), and the Egyptian mongoose (<i>Herpestes ichneumon</i>). Thus, this study aims: 1) to explore the relationship between mesocarnivores along the trophic niche axis; 2) to determine the diel activity patterns of predator and prey species and their synchrony; and 3) to quantify
108 109 110 111 112 113 114 115	Hence, the main purpose of this study is to evaluate the ecological factors that allow the coexistence of mesocarnivore species in the Doñana National Park without the influence of a top-predator, focusing on the temporal segregation of four mesocarnivore species: the red fox (<i>Vulpes vulpes</i>), the European badger (<i>Meles meles</i>), the common genet (<i>Genetta</i> <i>genetta</i>), and the Egyptian mongoose (<i>Herpestes ichneumon</i>). Thus, this study aims: 1) to explore the relationship between mesocarnivores along the trophic niche axis; 2) to determine the diel activity patterns of predator and prey species and their synchrony; and 3) to quantify the temporal overlap or segregation between potential competitors. Following a niche
108 109 110 111 112 113 114 115 116	Hence, the main purpose of this study is to evaluate the ecological factors that allow the coexistence of mesocarnivore species in the Doñana National Park without the influence of a top-predator, focusing on the temporal segregation of four mesocarnivore species: the red fox (<i>Vulpes vulpes</i>), the European badger (<i>Meles meles</i>), the common genet (<i>Genetta</i> <i>genetta</i>), and the Egyptian mongoose (<i>Herpestes ichneumon</i>). Thus, this study aims: 1) to explore the relationship between mesocarnivores along the trophic niche axis; 2) to determine the diel activity patterns of predator and prey species and their synchrony; and 3) to quantify the temporal overlap or segregation between potential competitors. Following a niche partitioning conceptual framework, we hypothesize that there would be greater temporal
108 109 110 111 112 113 114 115 116 117	Hence, the main purpose of this study is to evaluate the ecological factors that allow the coexistence of mesocarnivore species in the Doñana National Park without the influence of a top-predator, focusing on the temporal segregation of four mesocarnivore species: the red fox (<i>Vulpes vulpes</i>), the European badger (<i>Meles meles</i>), the common genet (<i>Genetta</i> <i>genetta</i>), and the Egyptian mongoose (<i>Herpestes ichneumon</i>). Thus, this study aims: 1) to explore the relationship between mesocarnivores along the trophic niche axis; 2) to determine the diel activity patterns of predator and prey species and their synchrony; and 3) to quantify the temporal overlap or segregation between potential competitors. Following a niche partitioning conceptual framework, we hypothesize that there would be greater temporal segregation in those species that have a higher degree of overlap in their respective trophic

- 119 preferences in order to promote coexistence by reducing competition along temporal or
- 120 trophic niche axes.

121 Material and methods

122 Study area

- 123 This study was carried out in Doñana National Park (37°1'N, 6° 33'W) in three 1-ha
- sites characterized by juniper stands (*Juniperus phoenicea* subsp. *turbinata*) of different age
- 125 and ecological succession stages, ranging from mature stands with a higher degree of
- 126 vegetation cover to an advance front of juniper stands with lower vegetation cover. "Sabinar
- 127 *del Marqués*" (SABMAR) constitutes the most mature stage with 10520 junipers/ha,
- 128 "Sabinar del Ojillo" (SABOJI) is at an intermediate stage with 9010 junipers/ha, and
- 129 "Sabinar de Colonización" (SABCOL) represents the colonization front stage with 2030
- 130 junipers/ha (Supplementary Fig. S1).

131 Data collection

132 We deployed eight camera traps per site that were rotated periodically through 35 133 randomly selected focal juniper plants. Juniper plants were selected at random in each of five 134 subplots within each of the three main sites, with the subplots distributed regularly 135 throughout the main plot. The structure of this habitat consists of paths of variable width 136 between juniper individuals, where the cameras were installed at 1-m height focusing on the 137 ground and the bottom of the plant. Camera trap models employed in this study were 138 Browning Dark Ops Pro XD and Bushnell Aggressor. Camera traps were active for ca. 10 139 days in each of these rotations and then changed to other 8 locations, thus ensuring that the 140 whole site was sampled in 5 weeks. Following this procedure, we obtained data from a total

141

142 2019 and October 2019 - June 2020. No attractants were used over the two years of sampling.

set of 24 camera traps sampling the three sites during two campaigns: October 2018 - May

143	The diel activity cycle of each species was characterized by pooling the total number
144	of detections across all cameras during the whole study period. In order to avoid data
145	dependency, we discarded consecutive detections of a given species within a site (Davis,
146	Kelly, & Stauffer, 2011; Monterroso et al., 2014). When multiple photographs of the same
147	species were taken within a 15-min interval, we considered them as a single capture event to
148	ensure capture independence. Since the area covered by our study sites is limited (ca. 1
149	ha/site), we deem 15 min a reasonable time period for a species to abandon the site. In cases
150	where a given individual was detected repeatedly without leaving the camera detection zone,
151	only the time of its first detection was considered. In our analyses we used either the total,
152	absolute number of records per species (e.g., to compare the relative frequency of sunrise,
153	day, dusk, and nocturnal records within a given site) or the relative frequency of records
154	expressed as number of photographs per species per camera-day (e.g., when comparing
155	across sites that received different sampling intensity).

156 Our target species consisted of the four mesopredators which occur in Doñana 157 National Park namely the Egyptian mongoose, the red fox, the European badger and the 158 common genet (Valverde, 1967), and their respective prey species. Large top predators such 159 as the wolf (*Canis lupus*) and the Iberian lynx (*Lynx pardinus*) were not present in our study 160 sites. Potential prey species include lagomorphs (mainly European rabbits, Oryctolagus 161 cuniculus), small mammals and birds such as the red partridge Alectoris rufa. Detections 162 from ungulates (mainly Cervus elaphus and Sus scrofa) were not included in the analysis as 163 their presence in mesocarnivore diets is frequently associated with carrion consumption, with 164 direct predation being recorded sporadically on very young individuals (Valverde, 1967).

165 Conversely, we did not have sufficient detections from the other lagomorph present in RBD 166 (*Lepus granatensis*, N = 3) to include them in this study.

167 Trophic overlap data

168 We searched on Web of Science (WOS) and Google Scholar for studies that reported 169 trophic preferences of the four mesocarnivores in Doñana National Park using the following 170 search string: (trophic OR diet) AND (predator species) AND (Doñana), where "predator 171 species" was substituted by one of the mesopredator species considered in this study when 172 performing the search. We also gathered diet data for the common genet by consulting 173 experts (P. Ferreras). The selection of studies contains all the trophic information available 174 for the four species at different locations in the Doñana National Park and reflects the entire 175 trophic spectra of each of the different species (Supplementary Table S1). We collected all 176 food items per species and study without pooling seasons or periods in order to capture the 177 natural variability in dietary composition across the whole Doñana study area and its marked 178 seasonal component.

179 Some studies reported information collected in previous studies (e.g., Martín et al. 180 (1995) and Fedriani et al. (1998), or Palomares and Delibes (1991b) and Palomares (1993)). 181 To avoid pseudo-replication issues, we kept only those studies where the data strictly belong 182 to different samples and periods. From each study, period and species, we extracted the 183 frequency of occurrence of food items in mesopredator faeces. In order to estimate trophic 184 overlap between mesocarnivores, we carried out a correspondence analysis (CA) using the 185 frequency of occurrence of each prey item for each predator. The CA ordered the different 186 diet studies (cases) relative to the prey species composition reported by each study, resulting 187 in an ordination of the studies corresponding to each mesopredator species based on their 188 similarity of prey species composition. We used the coordinates on the first two CA axes

189	corresponding to each diet study to build convex polygons spanning each mesopredator group
190	of studies. Convex polygons illustrate the range of dietary variation shown by each
191	mesopredator species in relation to the diet composition of other species.
192	Camera trap data analysis
103	
190	We extracted time and date from each detection of every camera trap in order to
194	estimate daily activity patterns and temporal overlap between mesocarnivores and their
193 194 195	we extracted time and date from each detection of every camera trap in order to estimate daily activity patterns and temporal overlap between mesocarnivores and their potential prey, and between mesocarnivore potential competitors. As time and dates are

197 Kernel density plots, which provide a density function of the daily activity pattern of a given

species (Rowcliffe et al., 2014). Raw data were subsampled in order to carry out analyses

199 only for those species with more than 10 detections (Fisher, 1995).

200 To determine the daily activity pattern of the species we resorted to the classification 201 used by van Schaik and Griffiths (1996) by which species are classified according to the 202 number of independent detections obtained as: diurnal (> 90% of the detections occurring 203 during the daylight), nocturnal (> 90% of the detections obtained at night), crepuscular (> 204 90% of their detections occur at dawn or dusk) or cathemeral if their activity pattern is 205 distributed uniformly throughout the daily cycle. We tested whether the target species 206 actually show a certain activity pattern and deviate from a random pattern using the Rao 207 Spacing Test (U) (Rao, 1976).

As the present study has been carried out over two years, daylight can vary from one detection to another and induce bias to our results. Species could adjust their daily activity levels depending on the day length, thus not taking this aspect into account when dealing with strictly diurnal or nocturnal species could lead to the misinterpretation of the results and the underestimation of peaks of daily activity (Vazquez et al., 2019). Therefore, we carried out a double average anchoring time transformation to take into account the mean average sunriseand sunset times for the study area (Vazquez et al., 2019).

215	We evaluated the extent of temporal segregation between mesopredators using the
216	overlap coefficient (Δ) described by Ridout and Linkie (2009). This coefficient ranges from 0
217	to 1, where 0 values represent completely different diel activity patterns while 1 represents
218	the maximum overlap between both species. Following Ridout and Linkie (2009), we used Δ_1
219	for cases with a sample size lower than 75 detections, and Δ_4 when sample size was equal or
220	greater than 75 detections.
221	Once the overlap coefficients between the different species were estimated 99%
	Shee the overlap coefficients between the different species were estimated, 33%

confidence intervals were calculated through smoothed bootstrap analysis with 10,000

223 replicates. Moreover, we evaluated differences in pairwise comparisons of daily activity

patterns using the non-parametric Mardia-Watson-Wheeler test (MWW, Batschelet, 1981).

Further, we used percentiles to establish threshold levels of overlap. Thus, $\Delta > 75$ th percentile

indicates a high temporal overlap between both species, $\Delta \leq 50$ th percentile a low degree of

overlap and, finally, intermediate values of these percentiles, 50th $<\Delta \le$ 75th, denote

228 moderate levels of overlap (Monterroso et al. 2014).

All analyses were conducted in R Studio 1.2.5033 Statistical Software (R Core Team,

230 2020). The correspondence analysis (CA) was carried out with "FactoMineR" package (Lê,

231 Josse, & Husson, 2008); kernel density functions, overlap coefficients (Δ) and their

respective confidence intervals were calculated with the package "overlap" (Ridout & Linkie,

233 2009); MWW and Rao Spacing tests were conducted using the "circular" package

234 (Agostinelli & Lund, 2017). Finally, we calculated mean average sunrise and sunset times

and performed the average anchoring transformation with the "activity" package (Rowcliffe,

236 2019).

237

238 **Results**

239 Trophic segregation among mesocarnivores

240 The first two dimensions of the correspondence analysis explained 62% of the 241 variability of mesocarnivore diets (Fig. 1). Axis 1, which explained 34.5% of the variation, 242 clearly discriminates the trophic preferences of the European badger and the common genet 243 due to their eminently omnivorous and carnivorous diets, respectively, but not from the rest 244 of the mesocarnivores. The red fox and the European badger showed very close values due to 245 their frugivorous behaviour and the predominant consumption of lagomorphs and 246 invertebrates. Meanwhile, the Egyptian mongoose had the wider trophic preferences, with an 247 intermediate position between the omnivorous diets of the European badger and the red fox, 248 and the carnivorous diet of the common genet. Simultaneously, axis 2, which explained 249 27.3% of the trophic variation, is generated by variation between the diets of the red fox and 250 the common genet, with the latter preferring small mammals followed by birds as main food 251 items. However, the second dimension of the correspondence analysis does not discern 252 between the trophic niches of the red fox, the European badger and the Egyptian mongoose, 253 indicating that there is a greater trophic overlap between these three species with respect to 254 the common genet. Further, convex polygons indicate the ampler trophic diversification of 255 the generalist species (red fox, European badger and Egyptian mongoose) whose range of 256 prey types tends to be considerably wider compared with the common genet (Fig. 1).

257 Species detections and diel activity patterns

Results obtained in this study come from a total of 531 effective sampling days. All
sites were sampled with the same number of cameras per site and a similar sampling effort

260	(number of days): $x \square \pm SD = 80.3 \pm 28.3$ d for SABCOL; 80.4 ± 27.3 d for SABOJI and
261	86.8 ± 26.0 d for SABMAR. Broadly, 2786 detections in total were obtained throughout the
262	study period, of which 96 (3.44%) could not be identified at the species level. Among all
263	detections, 16% corresponded to mesocarnivores, 28.7% to prey species, 15% to ungulates
264	and 38.7% to passeriformes, which were not included in this study (Supplementary Table
265	S2).

266	Regarding our target species, we recorded 301 detections of the red fox, with 54.8%
267	of them in SABCOL and the rest of the detections evenly distributed between the other two
268	sites (SABOJI: 23.9%; SABMAR: 21,3%). A large amount of the detections obtained from
269	this species were linked to its nocturnal habits while the rest were distributed throughout
270	daylight periods, demonstrating a cathemeral activity pattern with a tendency towards
271	bimodality (U = 142.26; p-value < 0.05) (Supplementary Table S3). Conversely, detections of
272	European badgers were very scarce in the three study sites (total: 22 detections), with 77% of
273	the detections occurring at night and the rest during the dawn or dusk periods ($U = 178.95$; p-
274	value < 0.01). As for the common genet, its activity pattern was strictly nocturnal (95% of the
275	records produced during nighttime; $U = 165.81$; p-value < 0.01), with most detections
276	occurring in SABCOL (62% of detections). Finally, detections of Egyptian mongooses were
277	mainly concentrated in the SABOJI (61%) while the other two sites maintained the same
278	proportion of records (ca. 20%), showing a marked diurnal activity pattern in each of them
279	(92% of the detections occurred during daylight; $U = 199.78$; p-value < 0.01) (Supplementary
280	Table S3).

For prey species, most of the detections belonged to the European rabbit (N = 650 detections). Rabbit detections concentrated similarly between SABOJI and SABMAR, while SABCOL had only 6 detections during the entire sampling period (Supplementary Table S2).

284	Overall, the distribution of rabbit detections spanned throughout the day, with distinct activity
285	peaks around sunrise and sunset (U = 156.97; p-value < 0.01) (Supplementary Table S3).
286	Small mammals (Mus spretus and Apodemus sylvaticus) were detected 98 times,
287	mainly in SABMAR and only during nighttime (U = 198.27; p-value < 0.01). Finally, red-
288	legged partridges were mostly detected in SABCOL (89% of detections) and showed a
289	markedly diurnal activity pattern (U = 226.53 ; p-value < 0.01) (Supplementary Table S3).
290	Predator and prey diel activity patterns
291	Broadly, the activity patterns and their corresponding overlap differed between
292	predators and the potential prey items in the study area. The European rabbit showed a high
293	degree of overlap with the red fox ($\Delta_4 = 0.84$), followed by a moderate overlap with the
294	European badger ($\Delta_1 = 0.62$). Conversely, both the common genet and the Egyptian
295	mongoose showed low overlap coefficients ($\Delta_1 = 0.47$ and $\Delta_1 = 0.44$, respectively) with rabbit
296	activity patterns (Fi. 2).
297	We found a high temporal overlap between the activity patterns of small mammals
298	(with most detections at night) and the European badger ($\Delta_1 = 0.89$) and the common genet
299	($\Delta_1 = 0.76$), respectively. In turn, the red fox showed a moderate temporal overlap with small
300	mammals ($\Delta_4 = 0.68$), while the Egyptian mongoose showed a total temporal segregation
301	with respect to the detected micromammals ($\Delta_1 = 0.14$). Activity patterns of red-legged
302	partridges overlapped with those of the Egyptian mongoose ($\Delta_1 = 0.82$), but not with those of
303	European badgers ($\Delta_1 = 0.08$) and common genets ($\Delta_1 = 0.03$) (Fig. 2).
304	European rabbit activity patterns differed significantly between SABOJI and
305	SABMAR (W = 32.69; p-value < 0.01) (Fig. 3). Meanwhile, red fox, but not the Egyptian

306 mongoose, showed different responses in terms of diel cycle among sites with different rabbit

308 rabbits were absent, and a tendency towards bimodal activity patterns in SABOJI and

- 309 SABMAR. Red fox activity patterns differed significantly between SABCOL and SABMAR
- (W = 11.14; p-value < 0.01), while no differences were found between SABCOL SABOJI
- 311 (W = 3.97; p-value = 0.137) or SABOJI SABMAR (W = 2.44, p-value = 0.295). In turn, no
- 312 statistically significant differences were found between activity patterns of the Egyptian
- 313 mongoose between SABCOL SABOJI (W = 1.09; p-value = 0.58), SABCOL SABMAR

314 (W = 2.06; p-value = 0.36) and SABOJI - SABMAR (W = 0.40; p-value = 0.82) (Fig. 3). The

temporal overlap between the activity patterns of the European rabbit and the red fox was Δ_1

316 = 0.79 for SABOJI and Δ_1 = 0.73 for SABMAR, while the temporal overlap between

- 317 European rabbit and Egyptian mongoose was sensibly lower ($\Delta_1 = 0.49$ for SABOJI and $\Delta_1 =$
- 318 0.37 for SABMAR) (Fig. 3).
- 319 *Temporal overlap and segregation between mesocarnivores*
- The average overlap and standard deviation of the activity patterns of the different species was $\Delta_1 = 0.48$ and SD = 0.29, which reflect a low, although variable, temporal overlap among these potential competitors. The average overlap values ($\Delta_1 \pm$ SD) of a species with respect to the set of potential competitors were: 0.59 ± 0.15 for the fox; 0.57 ± 0.34 for the badger; 0.50 ± 0.36 for the genet and 0.24 ± 0.18 for the Egyptian mongoose.

325 Strictly nocturnal species (European badger and common genet) showed a high

- 326 overlap coefficient and no significant differences between their activity patterns ($\Delta_1 = 0.79$;
- W = 1.89, p-value = 0.386). In contrast, the Egyptian mongoose showed a strong and
- 328 significant temporal segregation with the European badger ($\Delta_1 = 0.16$; W = 41.75, p-value <
- 329 0.01) and the common genet ($\Delta_1 = 0.08$; W = 65.79, p-value < 0.01) (Fig. 4). Simultaneously,
- 330 we found that the activity pattern of the red fox had intermediate levels of temporal

segregation with the common genet ($\Delta_1 = 0.57$; W = 24.96; p-value < 0.01) and the European

badger ($\Delta_1 = 0.71$; W = 8.44; p-value = 0.014) and a low overlap with the Egyptian

mongoose ($\Delta_1 = 0.44$; W = 73.48; p-value < 0.01) (Fig. 4). Among study sites, temporal

overlap between the red fox and the Egyptian mongoose was $\Delta_1 = 0.41$ for SABCOL, $\Delta_1 =$

335 0.45 for SABOJI and $\Delta_1 = 0.36$ for SABMAR (Fig. 3).

336 Discussion

337 In this study we explore the mechanisms underlying the structure of Mediterranean 338 mesocarnivore communities living in sympatry in a mesopredator release scenario. We show 339 that mesocarnivore coexistence could be mediated by temporal or trophic segregation when 340 there is a greater overlap in any of these two niche axes. Unlike previous studies which have 341 been traditionally focused on spatial responses (Fedriani et al. 1999, Soto and Palomares 342 2015, Monterroso et al. 2020, but see Barrull et al. 2014, Monterroso et al. 2014, Vilella et al. 343 2020), our study provides new insights on the importance of temporal segregation at 344 facilitating co-occurrence by reducing resource overlap. Overall, we found a moderate level 345 of temporal segregation within the mesocarnivore guild in our study area, which was 346 composed by two strictly nocturnal species - the European badger and the common genet 347 (Camps, 2008; Palomares & Delibes, 1994; Rodríguez et al., 1996), one diurnal - the 348 Egyptian mongoose (Palomares & Delibes, 1992) and one with plasticity in its daily activity 349 pattern - the red fox, which ranges from diurnal (Cavallini & Lovari, 1994) to nocturnal 350 habits (Baker et al., 2007).

The highest values of temporal overlap occurred between the European badger and the common genet due to their predominantly nocturnal activity patterns. However, they showed marked differences in their respective trophic niches, mostly because of the preferential consumption of small mammals by the common genet. The common genet consumes almost 355 all species of rodents and insectivores, but it is certainly a specialized predator on *Apodemus* 356 sylvaticus when this prey is available (Palomares & Delibes, 1991a; Virgós et al., 1999). 357 According to the Optimal Foraging Theory (MacArthur & Pianka, 1966), predators maximize 358 its prey intake by showing an efficient foraging pattern. In the case of predators with 359 specialized diets such as the genet, they optimize their foraging behaviour by maximizing 360 synchrony of their daily activity patterns to their main and most profitable prey (Pyke et al., 361 1977), as shown by our results. However, this pattern would not be so marked in predators 362 whose feeding behaviour is opportunistic and depends on the availability and accessibility of 363 several prey types (Pyke et al., 1977), which is the case for the rest of the mesocarnivores 364 studied.

365 The three other species that constitute the Doñana mesocarnivore assemblage are 366 known for their generalist feeding habits (Fedriani et al., 1999; Palomares, 1993; Rau, 1987). 367 Yet, we found trophic segregation of the Egyptian mongoose with respect to the red fox and 368 the European badger, with plant material (e.g., fleshy fruits) virtually absent from its diet. 369 Meanwhile, the trophic overlap between European badger and red fox was the highest within 370 the mesocarnivore community due to their omnivorous behaviour based on the consumption 371 of fleshy fruits, invertebrates and lagomorphs. Despite their reduced differences in terms of 372 spatial and trophic niche, these species showed a marked temporal segregation, displaying 373 distinct activity patterns: nocturnal, cathemeral and diurnal, which could ease coexistence by 374 exploiting similar resources at different times and thus reducing intraguild antagonistic 375 encounters.

The ecological plasticity and the ample spectra of resource use shown by these species in their trophic and habitat niche axes suggest a scenario with potentially reduced interspecific competition (Fedriani et al., 1999; Monterroso et al., 2020; Palomares, 1993).

379 However, the temporal segregation of these species may become more relevant in situations 380 where those two niche axes exhibit ample overlap. For example, a reduction in the abundance 381 and availability of its main trophic resources at a given moment may lead to increasing 382 competition for the consumption of available secondary resources. A clear example is the 383 reported reduction in the consumption of European rabbits after a sudden population collapse 384 due to the emergence of the rabbit hemorrhagic disease (RHD) (Ferreras et. al., 2011), which 385 resulted in an increased trophic overlap among most generalist carnivore species. In such 386 cases, time partitioning becomes the main mechanism to facilitate coexistence by reducing 387 competitive stress in resource use among these species (Barrientos & Virgós, 2006).

388 The European rabbit in the study area accounts for a very high percentage of the diet 389 of Egyptian mongooses (Palomares & Delibes, 1991b) and also for European badgers. Some 390 authors even point out a possible specialization of badgers for this type of prey, a contentious 391 assertion which has been debated (Martín et al., 1995; Revilla & Palomares, 2002). The 392 activity pattern of European rabbits matches those previously reported, showing a bimodal 393 pattern with peaks of activity at sunrise and sunset (Díaz Ruiz et al., 2016; Monterroso et 394 al., 2013; Villafuerte et al., 1993). However, rabbit availability differed between study sites, 395 with almost no detections in the least mature, early successional juniper colonization front 396 site (SABCOL), and frequent detections in the other more mature sites (SABMAR and 397 SABOJI). Such differences may be due to its habitat requirements since, at the landscape 398 scale, the ideal habitat for rabbits is a juxtaposition of ecological units where the refuge areas 399 are intermingled with others where herbaceous food is more abundant (Dellafiore et al., 2014; 400 Monzón et al., 2004). The colonization site (SABCOL) represents a Mediterranean scrubland 401 in an early ecological stage composed mainly by a low-density population of J. phoenicea 402 (2030 junipers/ha) and therefore, a low vegetation cover which does not fulfil rabbit habitat 403 preferences. Meanwhile, SABMAR and SABOJI are sites characterized by late successional

404 mature vegetation and high vegetation cover, which may serve as refuge for rabbits. In these
405 two sites, we found differences between the diel cycle use of rabbits, showing two activity
406 peaks in SABOJI and only one crepuscular activity peak in SABMAR.

407 Interestingly enough, the activity pattern displayed by the red fox, showed a unimodal 408 pattern with an activity peak around midnight in SABCOL, but shifted towards bimodality in 409 sites where the rabbit was present, putatively to match the activity patterns of this prey and 410 thus increase the probability of encounter. This was particularly obvious in SABOJI, where 411 the temporal overlap between fox activity and that of the rabbits was the highest. Yet, this 412 strategy was less beneficial in SABMAR, where an increase in the red fox activity around 413 dawn may have triggered an anti-predatory behaviour in the European rabbit, which 414 displayed only one activity peak at twilight, thus leading to low temporal overlap. This 415 observation is in line with the Risk Allocation Hypothesis by which a temporal mismatch 416 between activity patterns of a prey species and its predator could be due to a higher 417 perception of mortality risk that drives anti-predatory behaviours along the time axis (Lima & 418 Bednekoff, 1999). Previous studies with Black-tailed jackrabbit (Lepus californicus), desert 419 cottontail (Sylvilagus audubonii) and the coyote (Canis latrans), have shown that lagomorphs 420 are able to shift their activity in order to reduce predation risk by the coyote (Arias-Del Razo 421 et al., 2011).

Dissimilarities in the plasticity of the activity patterns of the red fox and the Egyptian mongoose may be explained by their different foraging strategies. Although, the European rabbit is a profitable prey for the generalist mesopredators in Doñana (Ferreras et al., 2011; Martín et al., 1995; Palomares & Delibes, 1991b; Fedriani, 1996), each predator displays different hunting and consumption strategies of this prey item. Egyptian mongooses and European badgers are species that preferentially consume younger rabbits by digging in burrows (Martín et al., 1995; Palomares & Delibes, 1991b). Conversely, the red fox resorts to
a foraging strategy based mainly on the pursuit of prey items, although it also excavates in
rabbit burrows (Fedriani et al., 1999). Thus, these distinct foraging strategies could explain
why the Egyptian mongoose and European badgers display temporal asynchrony with the
prey's activity patterns while the red fox is expected to track and match the activity pattern of
its prey.

434 Hence, our study addresses for the first time the interspecific relationships among the 435 four mesocarnivores species present in Doñana National Park by integrating together the 436 different patterns of daily activity and their respective overlap, as well their trophic ecologies. 437 Yet, these results should be taken with caution as the observational nature of this study does 438 not allow us to establish a clear causal relationship in the observed patterns of the different 439 species (i.e., temporal avoidance between competitors). Future research should address 440 variations in the relative abundance of mesopredators across sites with different rabbit 441 availability. Unfortunately, our sample size was limited to address these questions and we 442 recommend complementing camera trap data with other census methods (i.e. pellet counts or 443 track surveys). Complementarily, trophic relationships between potential competitors could 444 be evaluated by studying the diet composition through the collection, identification and 445 analysis of faecal samples in the different study sites.

446 **Conclusions**

The activity patterns of the different mesopredators are ultimately restricted by the
circadian boundaries, but at the same time can be slightly modulated by interspecific
interactions with both prey and potential competitors. Our results show that the coexistence
of the different species that compose the mesocarnivore assemblage in the Doñana National
Park can be facilitated by the temporal segregation among generalist species due to a

divergence in the activity patterns (nocturnal, diurnal and cathemeral) but also by differences

453 in trophic preferences among specialist species, as suggested in previous studies (Fedriani et

454 al., 1999; Palomares & Delibes, 1991c; Soto & Palomares, 2015)

455 Our study further suggests that in order to clarify the coexistence mechanisms underlying

456 community structure, it is necessary to consider a multidimensional perspective, addressing

457 simultaneously multiple axes of the ecological niche. In markedly seasonal habitats like

458 Doñana, with patchy vegetation including ample gradients of vegetation cover and

459 successional status, even subtle differences in daily activity such as those documented here

460 represent multiple pathways to facilitate sympatry of coexisting mesopredator species. These

461 mechanisms might prove crucial for coexistence in settings where the apex predator is absent

462 and medium-sized carnivores live at high densities and show resource overlap. Recent

463 scenarios of rewilding with top predators may represent ideal conditions to experimentally

464 examine the effects of mesopredator release in diverse carnivore guilds like those of the

465 Mediterranean habitats studied here.

466 Acknowledgements

467 We thank J.P. González-Varó, T. Burgos, E. Quintero, C. Gutierrez, R. Tarifa and J.L. 468 Molina for technical support regarding species identification. We also thank P. Ferreras for 469 sharing his trophic data with us. I. Mendoza and B. Arroyo gave helpful comments on early 470 versions of the manuscript. Our work was funded by the project DISPMULTLAYER 471 (CGL2017-82847-P), Spanish Ministry of Science and Innovation (PJ). JI was supported by 472 the FPI grant PRE2018-085916 from the Spanish Ministry of Science and Innovation. ABL 473 was supported by a Juan de la Cierva-Incorporación grant (IJCI-2017-31419), Spanish 474 Ministry of Science, Innovation and Universities. We appreciate the support from ICTS-RBD 475 facilities during fieldwork.

476 Author contributions

ABL conceived the original idea; IF collected the diet data, performed the analyses, designed
the figures and wrote the first draft of the manuscript; JI and PJ designed the methodology; JI
and IF compiled the camera-trap data. All authors contributed to the writing of the
manuscript.

481 **Data accessibility**

- 482 Data and R codes used in this study are deposited in GitHub
- 483 (https://github.com/IagoFerreiroArias/TempPart)
- 484

485 **References**

486	Agostinelli, C., & Lund, U. (2017). {R} package \texttt{circular}: Circular Statistics
487	(version 0.4-93). CA: Department of Environmental Sciences, Informatics and
488	Statistics, Ca' Foscari University, Venice, Italy. UL: Department of Statistics,
489	California Polytechnic State University, San Luis Obispo, California, USA.
490	Retrieved from https://r-forge.r-project.org/projects/circular/
491	Arias-Del Razo, I., Hernández, L., Laundré, J. W., & Myers, O. (2011). Do predator and
492	prey foraging activity patterns match? A study of coyotes (Canis latrans), and
493	lagomorphs (Lepus californicus and Sylvilagus audobonii). Journal of Arid
494	Environments, 75(2), 112–118.
495	Baker, P. J., Dowding, C. V., Molony, S. E., White, P. C. L., & Harris, S. (2007).
496	Activity patterns of urban red foxes (Vulpes vulpes) reduce the risk of traffic-
497	induced mortality. Behavioral Ecology, 18(4), 716–724.

498	Barrientos, R., & Virgós, E. (2006). Reduction of potential food interference in two
499	sympatric carnivores by sequential use of shared resources. Acta Oecologica, 30(1),
500	107–116.
501	Barrull, J., Mate, I., Ruiz-Olmo, J., Casanovas, J. G., Gosàlbez, J., & Salicrú, M. (2014).
502	Factors and mechanisms that explain coexistence in a Mediterranean carnivore
503	assemblage: an integrated study based on camera trapping and diet. Mammalian
504	<i>Biology</i> , 79(2), 123–131.
505	Batschelet, E. (1981). Circular statistics in biology. New York: Academic Press.
506	Bennie, J. J., Duffy, J. P., Inger, R., & Gaston, K. J. (2014). Biogeography of time
507	partitioning in mammals. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the
508	United States of America, 111(38), 13727–13732.
509	Brashares, J. S., Prugh, L. R., Stoner, C. J., & Epps, C. W. (2010). Ecological and
510	conservation implications of mesopredator release. In Trophic cascades: predators,
511	prey, and the changing dynamics of nature (pp. 221–240).
512	Camps, D. (2008). Activity patterns of adult common genets Genetta genetta (Linnaeus,
513	1758) in northeastern Spain. Galemys, 20(1), 47-60.
514	Cavallini, P., & Lovari, S. (1994). Home range, habitat selection and activity of the red
515	fox in a Mediterranean coastal ecotone. Acta Theriologica, 39(3), 279–287.
516	Chesson, P. (2000). Mechanisms of maintenance of species diversity. Annual Review of
517	Ecology and Systematics, 31(1), 343–366.

518	Davis, M. L., Kelly, M. J., & Stauffer, D. F. (2011). Carnivore co a existence and habitat
519	use in the Mountain Pine Ridge Forest Reserve, Belize. Animal Conservation,
520	14(1), 56–65.
521	Dellafiore, C. M., Rouco, C., Vallés, S. M., & Fernández, J. B. G. (2014). Seasonal
522	habitat use by the European rabbit (Oryctolagus cuniculus) in a coastal dune system
523	in SW Spain. Animal Biodiversity and Conservation, 2, 233–242.
524	Di Bitetti, M. S., Di Blanco, Y. E., Pereira, J. A., Paviolo, A., & Pírez, I. J. (2009). Time
525	Partitioning Favors the Coexistence of Sympatric Crab-Eating Foxes (Cerdocyon
526	thous) and Pampas Foxes (Lycalopex gymnocercus). Journal of Mammalogy, 90(2),
527	479–490.
528	Díaz 🛛 Ruiz, F., Caro, J., Delibes 🗆 Mateos, M., Arroyo, B., & Ferreras, P. (2016).
529	Drivers of red fox (Vulpes vulpes) daily activity: prey availability, human
530	disturbance or habitat structure? Journal of Zoology, 298(2), 128–138.
531	Ensing, E. P., Ciuti, S., De Wijs, F. A. L. M., Lentferink, D. H., Hoedt, A., Boyce, M.
532	S., & Hut, R. A. (2014). GPS based daily activity patterns in european red deer and
533	North American elk (Cervus elaphus): Indication for a weak circadian clock in
534	ungulates. PLoS ONE, 9(9), e106997.
535	Fedriani, J. M. (1996). Dieta anual del zorro, Vulpes vulpes, en dos hábitats del Parque
536	Nacional de Doñana. Doñana Acta Vertebrata, 23(2), 143–152.
537	Fedriani, J. M., Ferreras, P., & Delibes, M. (1998). Dietary response of the Eurasian
538	badger, Meles meles, to a decline of its main prey in the Donana National Park.
539	Journal of Zoology, 245(2), 214–128.

540	Fedriani, J. M., Palomares, F., & Delibes, M. (1999). Niche relations among three
541	sympatric Mediterranean carnivores. Oecologia, 121(1), 138–148.
542	Fenn, M. G. P., & Macdonald, D. W. (1995). Use of middens by red foxes: risk reverses
543	rhythms of rats. Journal of Mammalogy, 76(1), 130-136.
544	Ferreras, P., Travaini, A., Zapata, S. C., & Delibes, M. (2011). Short-term responses of
545	mammalian carnivores to a sudden collapse of rabbits in Mediterranean Spain.
546	Basic and Applied Ecology, 12(2), 116–124.
547	Fisher, N. I. (1995). Statistical analysis of circular data. Cambridge University Press.
548	Foster, V. C., Sarmento, P., Sollmann, R., Tôrres, N., Jácomo, A. T. A., Negrões, N.,
549	Silveira, L. (2013). Jaguar and puma activity patterns and predator prey
550	interactions in four Brazilian biomes. <i>Biotropica</i> , 45(3), 373–379.
551	Gaynor, K. M., Hojnowski, C. E., Carter, N. H., & Brashares, J. S. (2018). The influence
552	of human disturbance on wildlife nocturnality. Science, 360(6394), 1232–1235.
553	Holt, R. D., Grover, J., & Tilman, D. (1994). Simple rules for interspecific dominance in
554	systems with exploitative and apparent competition. The American Naturalist,
555	144(5), 741–771.
556	Jiménez, J., Nuñez-Arjona, J. C., Mougeot, F., Ferreras, P., González, L. M., García-
557	Domínguez, F., López-Bao, J. V. (2019). Restoring apex predators can reduce
558	mesopredator abundances. Biological Conservation, 238, 108234.
559	Kronfeld-Schor, N., & Dayan, T. (2003). Partitioning of time as an ecological resource.
560	Annual Review of Ecology, Evolution, and Systematics, 34(1), 153–181.

561	Lê, S., Josse, J., & Husson, F. (2008). {FactoMineR}: A Package for Multivariate
562	Analysis. Journal of Statistical Software, 25(1), 1–18.
563	Lima, S. L., & Bednekoff, P. A. (1999). Temporal variation in danger drives
564	antipredator behavior: the predation risk allocation hypothesis. The American
565	Naturalist, 153(6), 649–659.
566	Linkie, M., & Ridout, M. S. (2011). Assessing tiger-prey interactions in Sumatran
567	rainforests. Journal of Zoology, 284(3), 224-229.
568	Lode, T. (1995). Activity pattern of polecats Mustela putorius L. in relation to food
569	habits and prey activity. <i>Ethology</i> , 100(4), 295–308.
570	MacArthur, R. H., & Pianka, E. R. (1966). On optimal use of a patchy environment. The
571	American Naturalist, 100(916), 603–609.
572	Maloney, S. K., Moss, G., Cartmell, T., & Mitchell, D. (2005). Alteration in diel activity
573	patterns as a thermoregulatory strategy in black wildebeest (Connochaetes gnou).
574	Journal of Comparative Physiology A, 191(11), 1055–1064.
575	Martín, R., Rodríguez, A., & Delibes, M. (1995). Local feeding specialization by
576	badgers (Meles meles) in a Mediterranean environment. Oecologia, 101(1), 45-50.
577	Monterroso, P., Alves, P. C., & Ferreras, P. (2013). Catch me if you can: Diel activity
578	patterns of mammalian prey and predators. <i>Ethology</i> , 119(12), 1044–1056.
579	Monterroso, P., Alves, P. C., & Ferreras, P. (2014). Plasticity in circadian activity
580	patterns of mesocarnivores in Southwestern Europe: Implications for species
581	coexistence. Behavioral Ecology and Sociobiology, 68(9), 1403–1417.

582	Monterroso, P., Díaz IRuíz, F., Lukacs, P. M., Alves, P. C., & Ferreras, P. (2020).
583	Ecological traits and the spatial structure of competitive coexistence among
584	carnivores. <i>Ecology</i> , 101(8), e03059.
585	Monzón, A., Fernandes, P., & Rodrigues, N. (2004). Vegetation structure descriptors
586	regulating the presence of wild rabbit in the National Park of Peneda-Gerês,
587	Portugal. European Journal of Wildlife Research, 50(1), 1-6.
588	Newsome, T. M., Greenville, A. C., Ćirović, D., Dickman, C. R., Johnson, C. N., Krofel,
589	M., Wirsing, A. J. (2017). Top predators constrain mesopredator distributions.
590	Nature Communications, 8, 15469.
591	Palomares, F. (1993). Opportunistic feeding of the Egyptian mongoose, Herpertes
592	ichneumon (L.) in Southwestern Spain. Revue d'écologie, 48, 295-304.
593	Palomares, F., & Caro, T. M. (1999). Interspecific killing among mammalian carnivores.
594	The American Naturalist, 153(5), 492–508.
595	Palomares, F., & Delibes, M. (1991a). Alimentación del meloncillo Herpestes
596	ichneumon y de la gineta Genetta genetta en la Reserva Biológica de Doñana, SO
597	de la Península Ibérica. Doñana Acta Vertebrata, 18(1), 5–20.
598	Palomares, F., & Delibes, M. (1991b). Dieta del meloncillo (Herpestes ichneumon), en
599	el Coto del Rey (Norte del Parque Nacional de Doñana, SO España). Doñana: Acta
600	Vertebrata, 18(2), 197–194.
601	Palomares, F., & Delibes, M. (1991c). Ecología comparada de la gineta Genetta genetta
602	(L.) y el meloncillo Herpestes ichneumon (L.) (Mammalia, Viverridae) en Doñana

603	(SO de la Península Ibérica). Boletín de La Real Sociedad Española de Historia
604	Natural (Sección Biología), 87(1–4), 257–266.

- Palomares, F., & Delibes, M. (1992). Circadian Activity Patterns of Free-Ranging Large
 Gray Mongooses, *Herpestes ichneumon*, in Southwestern Spain. *Journal of*
- 607 *Mammalogy*, 73(1), 173–177.
- Palomares, F., & Delibes, M. (1994). Spatio-temporal ecology and behavior of European
 genets in southwestern Spain. *Journal of Mammalogy*, 75(3), 714–724.
- 610 Palomares, F., Ferreras, P., Fedriani, J. M., & Delibes, M. (1996). Spatial relationships
- between Iberian lynx and other carnivores in an area of south-western Spain. *Journal of Applied Ecology*, *33*, 5–13.
- Palomares, F., Ferreras, P., Travaini, A., & Delibes, M. (1998). Co-existence between
- 614 Iberian lynx and Egyptian mongooses: estimating interaction strength by structural
 615 equation modelling and testing by an observational study. *Journal of Animal*616 *Ecology*, 67(6), 967–978.
- Polis, G. A., Myers, C. A., & Holt, R. D. (1989). The ecology and evolution of
 intraguild predation: potential competitors that eat each other. *Annual Review of Ecology and Systematics*, 20(1), 297–330.
- Prugh, L. R., Stoner, C. J., Epps, C. W., Bean, W. T., Ripple, W. J., Laliberte, A. S., &
 Brashares, J. S. (2009). The rise of the mesopredator. *Bioscience*, *59*(9), 779–791.
- Pyke, G. H., Pulliam, H. R., & Charnov, E. L. (1977). Optimal foraging: a selective
 review of theory and tests. *The Quarterly Review of Biology*, 52(2), 137–154.

624	R Core Team. (2020). R: A Language and Environment for Statistical Computing.
625	Vienna, Austria: R Foundation for Statistical Computing. Retrieved from
626	https://www.r-project.org/
627	Rao, J. S. (1976). Some tests based on arc-lengths for the circle. Sankhyā: The Indian
628	Journal of Statistics, Series B, 329–338.
629	Rau, J. R. (1987). Ecología del zorro," Vulpes vulpes (L.)", en la reserva biológica de
630	Doñana, SO de España. Universidad de Sevilla.
631	Revilla, E., & Palomares, F. (2002). Does local feeding specialization exist in Eurasian
632	badgers? Canadian Journal of Zoology, 80(1), 83-93.
633	Ridout, M. S., & Linkie, M. (2009). Estimating overlap of daily activity patterns from
634	camera trap data. Journal of Agricultural, Biological, and Environmental Statistics,
635	14(3), 322–337.
636	Rodríguez, A., Martin, R., & Delibes, M. (1996). Space use and activity in a
637	Mediterranean population of badgers Meles meles. Acta Theriologica, 41, 59-72.
638	Rowcliffe, J. M., Kays, R., Kranstauber, B., Carbone, C., & Jansen, P. A. (2014).
639	Quantifying levels of animal activity using camera trap data. Methods in Ecology
640	and Evolution, 5(11), 1170–1179.
641	Rowcliffe, M. (2019). activity: Animal Activity Statistics. Retrieved from https://cran.r-
642	project.org/package=activity
643	Santos, F., Carbone, C., Wearn, O. R., Rowcliffe, J. M., Espinosa, S., Lima, M. G. M.,
644	Alvarez-Loayza, P. (2019). Prey availability and temporal partitioning modulate
645	felid coexistence in Neotropical forests. PloS One, 14(3), e0213671.

646	Schoener, T. W. (1974). The compression hypothesis and temporal resource
647	partitioning. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States
648	of America, 71(10), 4169–4172.
649	Soto, C., & Palomares, F. (2015). Coexistence of sympatric carnivores in relatively
650	homogeneous Mediterranean landscapes: functional importance of habitat
651	segregation at the fine-scale level. Oecologia, 179(1), 223-235.
652	Soulé, M. E., Bolger, D. T., Alberts, A. C., Wrights, J., Sorice, M., & Hill, S. (1988).
653	Reconstructed Dynamics of Rapid Extinctions of Chaparral Requiring Birds in
654	Urban Habitat Islands. Conservation Biology, 2(1), 75–92.
655	Terborgh, J., Holt, R. D., & Estes, J. (2010). Trophic cascades: what they are, how they
656	work, and why they matter. In Trophic Cascades: Predators, Prey and the
657	Changing Dynamics of Nature.
658	Valverde, J. A. (1957). Notes écologiques sur le lynx d'Espagne feus Lynx Pardina
659	temminck. Terre et Vie (Revue d'Ecologie), 104, 51-67.
660	Valverde, J. A. (1967). Estructura de una comunidad mediterránea de vertebrados
661	terrestres. Madrid: Consejo Superior de Investigaciones Científicas (España).
662	van Schaik, C. P., & Griffiths, M. (1996). Activity Periods of Indonesian Rain Forest
663	Mammals. <i>Biotropica</i> , 28(1), 105–112.
664	Vazquez, C., Rowcliffe, J. M., Spoelstra, K., & Jansen, P. A. (2019). Comparing diel
665	activity patterns of wildlife across latitudes and seasons: Time transformations
666	using day length. Methods in Ecology and Evolution, 10(12), 2057–2066.

667	Vilella, M., Ferrandiz Rovira, M., & Sayol, F. (2020). Coexistence of predators in
668	time: Effects of season and prey availability on species activity within a
669	Mediterranean carnivore guild. <i>Ecology and Evolution</i> , 00, 1–15.
670	Villafuerte, R., Kufner, M. B., Delibes, M., & Moreno, S. (1993). Environmental factors
671	influencing the seasonal daily activity of the European rabbit (Oryctolagus
672	cuniculus) in a Mediterranean area. Mammalia, 57(3), 341–347.
673	Virgós, E., Llorente, M., & Cortésá, Y. (1999). Geographical variation in genet (Genetta
674	genetta L.) diet: a literature review. Mammal Review, 29(2), 117–126.
675	Wang, Y., & Fisher, D. O. (2012). Dingoes affect activity of feral cats, but do not
676	exclude them from the habitat of an endangered macropod. Wildlife Research,
677	39(7), 611–620.
678	Zielinski, W. J. (1986). Circadian rhythms of small carnivores and the effect of
679	restricted feeding on daily activity. Physiology & Behavior, 38(5), 613-620.
680	
681	
682	
683	
684	
685	

686 Figures

687	Figure 1. Niche segregation along the trophic axis among mesocarnivores in Doñana
688	National Park. The first two CA dimensions (Dim 1, Dim 2) explain 66% of the variability in
689	diet composition between species and studies. The first dimension represents a gradient of
690	diet variability between the common genet and the European badger, while the second
691	dimension separates the diet of the red fox and the common genet. Convex polygons
692	represent trophic niche breadth.
693	Figure 2. Pairwise comparisons of daily activity patterns between mesocarnivores (rows, top
694	to bottom: red fox, badger, genet, and Egyptian mongoose) and their main prey items in the
695	study area (columns, left to right: Oryctolagus cunniculus, small mammals, and Alectoris
696	rufa). Coloured lines describe prey activity patterns (blue for European rabbit, green for small
697	mammals and yellow for red-legged partridge) and black lines indicate predator's activity
698	patterns. Overlap coefficients (Δ) and their respective 99% confidence intervals are shown in
699	brackets.
700	Figure 3. Diel activity patterns of two mesocarnivores (red fox and Egyptian mongoose; mid
701	and bottom rows, respectively) and its main prey item (European rabbit, top row) along the
702	gradient of ecological succession, from younger juniper stands (SABCOL) to mature, late
703	successional stands (SABMAR).
704	Figure 4. Kernel density plots and temporal overlap between mesocarnivores in the study
705	area: common genet (green line), red fox (blue line), European badger (purple line) and
706	Egyptian mongoose (yellow line). Overlap coefficients (Δ) and their respective 99%

707 confidence intervals are shown in brackets.

708







Time

